		>
		÷
		:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES



ood and Drug Administration
21 CFR Part 101

MAY - 5 2003

[Docket No. 03N-0076]
RIN 0910-AC50

Food Labeling: Trans Fatty Acids in Nutrition Labeling; Consumer Research

to Consider Possible Footnote Statements

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is issuing this advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) to solicit information and data on whether to consider, for possible use in the Nutrition Facts panel, footnote statements about trans fat, either alone or in combination with saturated fat and cholesterol, in order to enhance consumers' understanding about such fat in foods and how to use the information to make healthy food choices. FDA is soliciting information and data on language in any such statements and the impact to consumers from such statements. The agency is also requesting comments on whether information, other than the use of a footnote on the Nutrition Facts Panel, about trans fat and other cholesterol-raising fatty acids would be beneficial to enhancing consumer information in the context of certain nutrient content and health claims that contain messages about such cholesterol-raising fats in the diet. Information and data obtained from comments and from consumer studies conducted by FDA may be used to help draft a proposed rule that would require the use of a footnote, or other labeling approach, about one or more cholesterol-raising lipids in the Nutrition Facts

KNS

panel to assist consumers in maintaining healthy dietary practices. Elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**, FDA is amending its regulations on nutrition labeling to require that *trans* fatty acids be declared in the nutrition label of conventional foods and dietary supplements on a separate line immediately under the line for the declaration of saturated fatty acids.

DATES: Submit written or electronic comments by [insert date 6 months after date of publication in the Federal Register].

ADDRESSES: Submit written or electronic comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm.

1061, Rockville, MD 20852. Submit electronic comments to http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Thompson, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-832), Food and Drug Administration, 5100 Paint Branch Pkwy., College Park, MD 20740, 301-436-4784. 2373

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In the **Federal Register** of November 17, 1999 (64 FR 62746) (the November 1999 proposal), FDA (we) proposed, among other things, to amend our regulations on nutrition labeling to require that the amount of *trans* fatty acids (*trans* fat) present in a food, including dietary supplements, be included in the amount and percent of Daily Value (% DV) declared for saturated fatty acids (saturated fat) with a footnote indicating the amount of *trans* fat in a serving of the product when the product contained 0.5 or more grams (g) per (/) serving. In that proposal, FDA concluded that dietary *trans* fat, like saturated fat, has adverse effects on blood cholesterol measures that are predictive of coronary heart disease (CHD) risk (64 FR 62746 at 62754).

Comments received in response to the November 1999 proposal strongly apposed the inclusion of *trans* fat as part of the amount and % DV for saturated fat (see "Food Labeling: Trans Fatty Acids in Nutrition Labeling, Nutrient Content Claims, and Health Claims" (the *trans* fat final regulation) found elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register) and supported the declaration of *trans* fat on a separate line immediately under that for saturated fat.

Moreover, comments encouraged the agency to wait for the soon-to-be published report on macronutrients by the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences (IOM/NAS) before finalizing the proposal. The comments explained that the IOM/NAS was expected to review the available science on *trans* fat and might establish a dietary reference intake (DRI) level from which FDA could establish a daily reference value (DRV) that would assist it in providing other information on the nutrition label, such as a % DV for *trans* fat.

In September of 2002, the IOM/NAS issued the report entitled "Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids,

Cholesterol, Protein and Amino Acids" (the IOM/NAS macronutrient report)

That similar to saturated fatty acids,
and found, "a positive linear trend" between trans fatty acid intake and low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) concentration, and therefore increased risk of CHD (Ref. 1). Although the IOM/NAS macronutrient report recommends that the intake of trans fat be as low as possible while maintaining a nutritionally balanced diet, it did not provide a DRI for trans fat or information that the agency believes would be sufficient to support the agency's establishing a DRV for nutrition labeling purposes.

Dietary guidance similar to that in the IOM/NAS macronutrient report was included in the Dietary Guidelines for Americans (2000, 5th ed.) (Ref. 2), which

recommended cutting back on saturated and trans fats when reducing total fat Moreover intake and in the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program's Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults which recommended keeping the intake of trans fatty acids low (Ref. 3).

In light of recommendations in the IOM/NAS macronutrient report, the agency published in the Federal Register of November 15, 2002 (67 FR 69171), a document reopening the comment period of the November 1999 proposal (November 2002 reopening of the comment period) to solicit comments on a proposed footnote statement that would be used in place of a % DV for trans fat on the nutrition label. In that document, the agency recognized the importance of providing information on the trans fat content of foods on food labels and set forth its thinking that the proposed footnote statement would provide guidance to consumers when using the quantitative information to help maintain healthy dietary practices. Thus, in the absence of a basis on which to establish a DV, the agency proposed to require an asterisk (or other symbol) in the % DV column for trans fat, when it is listed, that is tied to a similar symbol at the bottom of the Nutrition Facts box and that is followed by the statement "Intake of trans fat should be as low as possible." The agency asked for comments on the proposed footnote statement.

A few comments to the November 2002 reopening of the comment period supported the proposed footnote statement, "Intake of *trans* fat should be as low as possible;" with or without some modification to the statement.

However, the majority of comments strongly opposed the proposed footnote statement and recommended that FDA drop the footnote and finalize the quantitative (g/serving) label declaration of *trans* fat on a separate line below

saturated fat with no % DV. A more thorough review of the comments can be seen in comment 17 of the *trans* fat final regulation found elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

The dominant concern, from both industry and consumers, was that the footnote would create a goal of achieving a "zero" trans fat intake level so that the market (that is, manufacturer reformulations and consumer preferences) would be driven toward products that were devoid of trans fat, regardless of the level of saturated fat. One comment submitted two national online surveys that, in fact, showed the proposed footnote statement led consumers to identify foods with much higher levels of saturated fat but no trans fat as "more healthful" than those containing lesser amounts of saturated fat and trans fat combined (see comment 17 in the trans fat final regulation found elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register).

Another concern expressed in comments was that the proposed footnote statement was inconsistent with the IOM/NAS report (Ref. 1) and other dietary guidelines. The comments argued that the footnote statement implies that intake of *trans* fat should be zero, in other words, a *de facto* DV of "zero" whereas the IOM/NAS macronutrient report states that the intake of *trans* fat is unavoidable in ordinary diets. Moreover, the report states that any attempt to eliminate them from the American diet would require significant changes in dietary intake patterns that may result in unknown and unquantifiable health risks. The IOM recommendation was that intake of *trans* fat should be as low as possible "while consuming a nutritionally adequate diet." The comments noted that the IOM/NAS macronutrient report makes similar recommendations for saturated fat and cholesterol, which also have adverse effects on LDL—C.

Thus, the comments expressed the belief that the proposed footnote tatement could mislead consumers into selecting foods with more saturated fat in an effort to avoid foods containing *trans* fat. Virtually all comments conveyed that *trans* fat and saturated fat (and perhaps cholesterol) need to be viewed in tandem—not one at the expense of the other(s).

Comments also raised concerns about the absence of consumer studies to determine how the proposed footnote would be perceived. As noted in the previous paragraphs, industry comments perceived it as a warning label for consumers to avoid *trans* fat-containing foods at all costs, resulting in an increased intake of saturated fat and negating years of government health messages to limit saturated fat intake. Comments also indicated concerns about an additional footnote adding clutter to the label and thereby discouraging consumers from reading it. The comments strongly supported consumer research on the proposed and other possible footnote statements to determine consumers' understanding of *trans* fat in light of such statements and how *trans* fat may be perceived relative to other cholesterol-raising lipids in a food, as well as how consumers would react to the footnote.

In the *trans* fat final regulation, found elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**, we amended our regulations on nutrition labeling to require that *trans* fat be declared in the nutrition label of conventional foods and dietary supplements on a separate line immediately under the line for the declaration of saturated fat but without a % DV or the proposed footnote statement. In that document, we concurred with the comments that support consumer testing to ensure that any footnote statement about *trans* fat, alone or in combination with other nutrients, such as saturated fat and cholesterol, provides meaningful guidance to consumers and drives the market in a

nutritionally beneficial direction. We concluded, therefore, that based on information and reguments presented in the comments, it is premature to require the use of the proposed footnote statement in the nutrition label. Instead, we decided to issue this ANPRM and solicit comment on: (1) The use of a footnote, (2) the language that may be appropriate for use in a footnote, (3) the impact of a footnote on consumers' food selections, and (4) whether an alternative approach, other than a footnote statement, to providing nutritional information about cholesterol-raising fatty acids would be more helpful to consumers.

II. Agency Request for Information

A. Footnote Statements

We are asking interested persons and those with expertise in consumer research to submit, as part of their comments on this ANPRM, information and consumer research data on any of the following footnote statements:

- Intake of *trans* fat should be kept low while maintaining a nutritionally adequate diet,
- Intake of trans fat and saturated fat should be kept low while maintaining a nutritionally adequate diet,
- Intake of trans fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol should be kept low while maintaining a nutritionally adequate diet,
- As part of a nutritionally balanced diet, intake of trans fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol should be kept low,
- Healthy diets start with diets low in trans fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol,
- Nutritionally adequate diets include diets low in trans fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol.

Other footnote statements may also be considered.

In particular, we are interested in information about whether a footnote bout trans fat, alone or in combination with saturated fat and cholesterol, would be helpful to consumers. We also are interested in information about what kinds of footnote statements are likely to be helpful to consumers to achieve the goal of conveying information about trans fat and/or other cholesterol-raising lipids in a manner which "enables the public to readily observe and comprehend such information and to understand its relative significance in the context of a total daily diet." (Section 2(b) of Public Law 101–535). Such information might consist of tests of the ability of various footnotes to assist consumers in making product choices or to draw correct inferences about product characteristics. It might also be useful to know how different footnote statements are comprehended by consumers: whether they are seen as credible, whether they are understood as statements of dietary guidance or as product warning statements, or whether they are seen as confusing. As always, we will take into account the adequacy of the sample, sample size, response rates, study design and the representativeness of the products and product comparisons used in the study when we evaluate and/ or design a study.

We intend to conduct consumer research of this kind in the near future.

Comments are also requested on the following questions:

- How will a footnote about *trans* fat, either alone or in combination with saturated fat and cholesterol, change, if at all, the way consumers are likely to respond to the required declaration of the amount of saturated and *trans* fats in the Nutrition Facts panel?
- Will such a footnote have an impact on consumers' shopping choices, and, if so, what kinds of products will consumers buy more of and less of?

- Is there any information, other than a footnote, that FDA should consider requiring in labeling that would be more helpful to consumers with respect to cholesterol-raising lipids in maintaining a healthy diet and in getting accurate and reliable nutrition information, or that would help consumers make better use of the information about cholesterol-raising lipids on the label?
- Since the amount of *trans* fat will be listed in the Nutrition Facts panel right below the amount and % DV of saturated fat, what additional effect will a footnote about *trans* fat, either alone or in combination with saturated fat and cholesterol, have on the line of products that manufacturers choose to make?
- What kinds of existing products will manufacturers reformulate because of such a footnote?
- What kinds of new products will manufacturers develop because of such a footnote?
- What kinds of products will manufacturers stop producing because of such a footnote?
- What First Amendment issues, if any, would be raised by requiring such a footnote?
- How will manufacturers weigh the consumer concerns about both saturated and trans fats with the functional properties of those fats in the food?

 (35 50me Manufacturers have claimed.)

 For example, if functional considerations cause trans fat to be replaced with may some times inappropriately equal or greater amounts of saturated fat, then how will consumers react to a product that will list fewer grams of trans fat, but will list more grams of saturated fat and report a higher % DV for saturated fat? At what ratio of substitution of saturated fat for trans fat would it not be advantageous to a manufacturer to make such a substitution, even with a footnote? What steps would FDA take to discourage such unhealthful reformulation and to encourage healthful reformulation?

- A number of products that have already been reformulated to reduce trans fat content also contain less saturated fat. What kinds of products are likely to be reformulated in this way?
- In order to comply with the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, what options for regulatory relief should we consider giving to small businesses?

B. Nutrient Content Claims, Health Claims, Disclosure and Disqualifying Levels

assist consumers to maintain healthy dietary practices. As explained in the trans fat final rule, published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register, although the science supports a relationship between trans fat intake and risk of CHD, the agency believes that the current level of scientific evidence does not support the establishment of a DRV for trans fat at this time. Thus, the agency is providing for mandatory trans fat labeling, without a % DV, to assist with information they need to help them to make consumers in making healthy food choices in the context of their total daily

diet.

In addition to the information on the Nutrition Facts Panel, nutrient content and health claims are important tools for providing consumers with information about the level of one or more nutrients in a food product. Because the current level of scientific evidence does not support the establishment of an appropriate reference value for daily consumption of trans fat, such as a DRI level, from which the agency could derive a DRV for trans fat, the agency decided, in the trans fat final rule, to withdraw those provisions of the proposed trans fat rule pertaining to the establishment of a definition of "trans fat free," consideration of "reduced trans fat" and "reduced saturated fat and trans fat" claims and limits on the amounts of trans fat wherever saturated

and disqualifying levels. However, the agency plans to continue to evaluate the emerging science and revisit the need for establishing nutrient content claims related to trans fat, and limits on trans fat in certain nutrient content claims, health claims, and disclosure and disqualifying levels through a new rulemaking once the scientific evidence has evolved to a point at which the the scientific evidence would agency believes it could support such a rulemaking.

The agency is concerned about ensuring that consumers obtain the best possible information related to *trans* fat and other cholesterol-raising fats on the food label. Therefore, we are requesting interested persons to submit, as part of their comments on the ANPRM, scientific information and data, including consumer research data, that would assist the agency in considering an appropriate reference value for daily consumption of *trans* fat that could serve as a basis for establishing a qualifying criterion for *trans* fat in *trans* fat nutrient content claims, current nutrient content claims for saturated fat and cholesterol, lean and extra lean claims, and health claims that contain a message about cholesterol-raising fats, and in addition, as disclosure and disqualifying levels. Alternatively, in the absence of evidence to support an appropriate reference value for daily consumption of *trans* fat, the agency is interested in comments about any available data to support the need for a disclosure statement concerning the level of *trans* fat or a message about the role of *trans* fat in increasing the risk of CHD.

The agency is also interested in comments on the impact on consumers' shopping choices of a qualifying criterion for *trans* fat in saturated fat, cholesterol, lean and extra lean nutrient content claims and in health claims that contain a message about cholesterol-raising fats. What kinds of products

would consumers buy more or less of because of such claims and a *trans* fat criterion?

III. References

The following references have been placed on display in the Dockets Management Branch (see ADDRESSES) and may be seen by interested persons between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA has verified the Web site addresses, but is not responsible for subsequent changes to the Web site after this document publishes in the Federal Register.

- 1. IOM/NAS, "Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein and Amino Acids," National Academy Press, Washington, DC, pp. S1–S17, 8–1 to 8–97, and 11–1 to 11–48, 2002 (Internet address: http://www.nap.edu/books/0309085373/html/).
- 2. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Schoose Sensibly, Nutrition and Your Health: Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 5th ed., Washington DC; Home and Garden Bulletin No. 232, 2000 (Internet address: www.health.gov).
- 3. Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III), chapter II "Rationale for Intervention" and chapter V "Adopting Healthful Lifestyle Habits to Lower LDL Cholesterol and Reduce CHD Risk," 2001 (Internet address: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/index.htm).

IV. How to Submit Comments

Interested persons may submit to the Dockets Management Branch (see ADDRESSES) written or electronic comments regarding this document. Submit a single copy of electronic comments to http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments or two paper copies of any mailed comments, except that

individuals may submit one paper copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

This ANPRM is issued under sections 201, 403, and 701 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 321, 343, and 371) and under the authority of the Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

Dated: 5-7-03

IVM THAT

[FR Doc. 03-????? Filed ??-??-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S